

NASH CECIL 027
FILED
FEB 02 2007
CLERK OF THE COURTS
REC'D BY: _____

PARRISH & SHAW
AN ASSOCIATION OF ATTORNEYS
THE CRESCENT CENTER
6075 POPLAR AVENUE, SUITE 420
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38119

LARRY E. FARRISH, P.C.
H. LEE SHAW, P.C.

TELEPHONE 901-767-8000
FACSIMILE 901-767-7618

January 25, 2007

David A. Siegel, Esq.
5100 Poplar Avenue, Suite 2500
Memphis, TN 38137

VIA FACSIMILE
(901) 462-3350
Via Email: dsiegel@nstplc.com
Followed by U.S. Mail

Richard A. Gordon, Esq.
264 Barry Road
Memphis, TN 38117

VIA FACSIMILE
(901) 681-0707
Followed by U.S. Mail

Re: **Baker v. He**, No. W2004-01225-SC-R11-PT

Dear Richard and David:

I left a voicemail expressing to David my commendation for effective advocacy and here reiterate that statement. I extend the same commendation to Richard.

I have waited since Tuesday for a return of my call to David. I have been circumspect in public statements and will continue to be unless and until I determine that there is no opportunity to commence aggressive efforts, immediately, to reconcile differences and come to common terms with respect to what needs to happen from here.

In this regard, may I suggest a joint motion to the Tennessee Supreme Court requesting that the Supreme Court enter a Rule 31 order requiring mediation to be completed within the next 30-45 days in an effort to come to terms on a consent order that can be submitted by entry to juvenile court, hopefully, with agreements in advance that the parties will not appeal or challenge the order in a higher court.

Concomitant with the Rule 31 order, we suggest that we jointly ask the Supreme Court to grant a stay of execution for the period during which mediation is required in order to give the



Letter to David A. Siegel, Esq.
Richard A. Gordon, Esq.
January 25, 2007
Page 2

parties undistracted opportunity to devote full attention to mediating a consent order rather than being concerned about legal remedies.


I can assure you, in utmost good faith, that the Bakers are both grief-stricken and very conciliatory-minded. They are particularly interested in doing whatever or coming to whatever agreements by way of a consent order is necessary to make it possible for both the Baker's and the He's to exist and work together in the raising of the child they both love and cherish. In order to make this workable to all, the Baker's would like to advocate for the He Family to receive permission to permanently work and remain in the United States.

Because time is of the essence, I sincerely hope for a response to this suggestion at the very earliest possible, but, in any case, no later than noon on Monday, January 29, 2007. We would appreciate, even, a preliminary call with an indication of whether a definitive reply will be made before noon on Monday.

We anxiously await your earliest possible response.

Very truly yours,

LARRY E. PARRISH, P.C.



Larry E. Parrish

LEP/bb

